HOMOLOGICAL DIMENSION OF CROSSED PRODUCTS *

Shouchuan Zhang

Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, 210008

0 Introduction

Throughout this paper, k is a field, R is an algebra over k, and H is a Hopf algebra over k. We say that $R\#_{\sigma}H$ is the crossed product of R and H if $R\#_{\sigma}H$ becomes an algebra over k by multiplication:

$$(a\#h)(b\#g) = \sum_{h,g} a(h_1 \cdot b)\sigma(h_2, g_1)\#h_3g_2$$

for any $a, b \in R, h, g \in H$, where $\Delta(h) = \sum h_1 \otimes h_2$ (see, [2, Definition 7.1.1].)

Let $lpd(_RM)$, $lid(_RM)$ and $lfd(_RM)$ denote the left projective dimension, left injective dimension and left flat dimension of left R-module M, respectively. Let lgD(R) and wD(R) denote the left global dimension and weak dimension of algebra R, respectively.

Crossed products are very important algebraic structures. The relation between homological dimensions of algebra R and crossed product $R\#_{\sigma}H$ is often studied. J.C.Mconnell and J.C.Robson in [4, Theorem 7.5.6] obtained that

$$rgD(R) = rgD(R*G)$$

for any finite group G with $|G|^{-1} \in k$, where R * G is skew group ring. It is clear that every skew group ring R * G is a crossed product $R \#_{\sigma} kG$ with trivial σ . Zhong

^{*}This work is supported by National Science Foundation

Yi in [9] obtained that the global dimension of crossed product R * G is finite when the global dimension of R is finite and some other conditions hold.

In this paper, we obtain that the global dimensions of R and the crossed product $R\#_{\sigma}H$ are the same; meantime, their weak dimensions are also the same, when H is finite-dimensional semisimple and cosemisimple Hopf algebra.

1 The homological dimensions of modules over crossed products

In this section, we give the relation between homological dimensions of modules over R and $R\#_{\sigma}H$.

If M is a left (right) $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module, then M is also a left (right) R-module since we can view R as a subalgebra of $R\#_{\sigma}H$.

Lemma 1.1 Let R be a subalgebra of algebra A.

- (i) If M is a free A-module and A is a free R-module, then M is a free R-module;
- (ii) If P is a projective left $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module, then P is a projective left R-module;
- (iii) If P is a projective right $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module and H is a Hopf algebra with invertible antipode, then P is a projective right R -module;

(iv) If
$$\mathcal{P}_{M} : \cdots P_{n} \xrightarrow{d_{n}} P_{n-1} \cdots \to P_{0} \xrightarrow{d_{0}} M \to 0$$

is a projective resolution of left $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module M, then \mathcal{P}_{M} is a projective resolution of left R-module M;

(v) If
$$\mathcal{P}_M: \cdots P_n \stackrel{d_n}{\to} P_{n-1} \cdots \to P_0 \stackrel{d_0}{\to} M \to 0$$

is a projective resolution of right $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module M and H is a Hopf algebra with invertible antipode, then \mathcal{P}_M is a projective resolution of right R-module M.

Proof. (i) It is obvious.

(ii) Since P is a projective $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module, we have that there exists a free $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module F such that P is a summand of F. It is clear that $R\#_{\sigma}H \cong R \otimes H$ as left R-module, which implies that $R\#_{\sigma}H$ is a free R-module. Thus it follows

from part (i) that F is a free R-module and P is a summand of F as R-module. Consequently, P is a projective R-module.

- (iii) By [2, Corollary 7.2.11], $R\#_{\sigma}H \cong H \otimes R$ as right R-module. Thus $R\#_{\sigma}H$ is a free right R-module. Using the method in the proof of part (i), we have that P is a projective right R-module.
 - (iv) and (v) can be obtained by part (ii) and (iii). \Box
- **Lemma 1.2** (i) Let R be a subalgebra of A. If M is a flat right (left) A-module and A is a flat right (left) R-module, then M is a flat right (left) R-module;
 - (ii) If F is a flat left $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module, then F is a flat left R-module;
- (iii) If F is a flat right $R \#_{\sigma} H$ -module and H is a Hopf algebra with invertible antipode, then F is a flat right R -module;

$$\mathcal{F}_M: \cdots F_n \xrightarrow{d_n} F_{n-1} \cdots \to F_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M \to 0$$

is a flat resolution of left $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module M, then \mathcal{F}_{M} is a flat resolution of left R-module M;

(v) If
$$\mathcal{F}_M: \cdots F_n \xrightarrow{d_n} F_{n-1} \cdots \to F_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M \to 0$$

is a flat resolution of right $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module M and H is a Hopf algebra with invertible antipode, then \mathcal{F}_{M} is a flat resolution of M;

Proof. (i) We only show part (i) in the case which M is a right A-module and A is a right R-module; the other cases can similarly be shown. Let

$$0 \to X \xrightarrow{f} Y$$

be an exact left $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module sequence. By assuptions,

$$0 \to A \otimes_R X \stackrel{A \otimes f}{\to} A \otimes_R Y$$

and

$$0 \to M \otimes_A (A \otimes_R X) \stackrel{M \otimes (A \otimes f)}{\to} M \otimes_A (A \otimes_R Y)$$

are exact sequences. Obviously,

$$M \otimes_A (A \otimes_R X) \cong M \otimes_R X$$
 and $M \otimes_A (A \otimes_R Y) \cong M \otimes_R Y$

as additive groups. Thus

$$0 \to M \otimes_R X \stackrel{M \otimes f}{\to} M \otimes_R Y$$

is an exact sequence, which implies M is a flat R-module.

(ii)-(v) are immediate consequence of part (i) \square

The following is a immediate consequence of Lemma 1.1 and 1.2.

Proposition 1.3 (i) If M is a left $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module, then

$$lpd(_{R}M) \leq lpd(_{R\#_{\sigma}H}M);$$

(ii) If M is a right $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module and H is a Hopf algebra with invertible antipode, then

$$rpd(M_R) \le rpd(M_{R\#_{\sigma}H})$$

(iii) If M is a left $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module, then

$$lfd(_RM) \leq lfd(_{R\#_{\sigma}H}M);$$

(iv) If M is a right $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module and H is a Hopf algebra with invertible antipode, then

$$rfd(M_R) \leq rfd(M_{R\#_{\sigma}H}).$$

Lemma 1.4 Let H be a finite-dimensional semisimple Hopf algebra, and let M and N be left $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -modules. If f is an R-module homomorphism from M to N, and

$$\bar{f}(m) = \sum \gamma^{-1}(t_1) f(\gamma(t_2)m)$$

for any $m \in M$, then \bar{f} is an $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module homomorphism from M to N, where $t \in \int_{H}^{r} with \ \epsilon(t) = 1$, and γ is a map from H to $R\#_{\sigma}H$ sending h to 1#h.

Proof. (see, the proof of [2, Theorem 7.4.2]) For any $a \in R, h \in H, m \in M$, we see that

$$\bar{f}(am) = \sum \gamma^{-1}(t_1)f(\gamma(t_2)am)
= \sum \gamma^{-1}(t_1)f((t_2 \cdot a)\gamma(t_3)m)
= \sum \gamma^{-1}(t_1)(t_2 \cdot a)f(\gamma(t_3)m)
= \sum a\gamma^{-1}(t_1)f(\gamma(t_2)m)
= a\bar{f}(m)$$

and

$$\bar{f}(\gamma(h)m) = \sum \gamma^{-1}(t_1)f(\gamma(t_2)\gamma(h)m)
= \sum \gamma^{-1}(t_1)f(\sigma(t_2,h_1)\gamma(t_3h_2)m) \text{ by [2, Definition 7.1.1]}
= \sum \gamma^{-1}(t_1)\sigma(t_2,h_1)f(\gamma(t_3h_2)m)
= \sum \gamma(h_1)\gamma^{-1}(t_1h_2)f(\gamma(t_2h_3)m)
= \sum \gamma(h)\gamma^{-1}(t_1)f(\gamma(t_2)m) \text{ since } \sum h_1 \otimes t_1h_2 \otimes t_2h_3 = \sum h \otimes t_1 \otimes t_2
= \gamma(h)\bar{f}(m)$$

Thus \bar{f} is an $R \#_{\sigma} H$ -module homomorphism. \square

In fact, we can obtain a functor by Lemma 1.4. Let $_{R\#_{\sigma}H}\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ denote the full subcategory of $_{R}\mathcal{M}$; its objects are all of left $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -modules and its morphisms from M to N are all of R-module homomorphisms from M to N. For any $M, N \in ob_{R\#_{\sigma}H}\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ and R-module homomorphism f from M to N, we define that

$$F: {}_{R\#_{\sigma}H}\overline{\mathcal{M}} \longrightarrow {}_{R\#_{\sigma}H}\mathcal{M}$$

such that

$$F(M) = M$$
 and $F(f) = \bar{f}$,

where \bar{f} is defined in Lemma 1.4. It is clear that F is a functor.

Lemma 1.5 Let H be a finite-dimensional semisimple Hopf algebra, and let M and N be right $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -modules. If f is an R-module homomorphism from M to N, and

$$\bar{f}(m) = \sum f(m\gamma^{-1}(t_1))\gamma(t_2)$$

for any $m \in M$, then \bar{f} is an $R \#_{\sigma} H$ -module homomorphism from M to N, where $t \in \int_{H}^{r} with \ \epsilon(t) = 1$, γ is a map from H to $R \#_{\sigma} H$ sending h to 1 # h.

Proof. (see, the proof of [2, Theorem 7.4.2]) For any $a \in R, h \in H, m \in M$, we see that

$$\bar{f}(ma) = \sum f(ma\gamma^{-1}(t_1))\gamma(t_2)
= \sum f(m\gamma^{-1}(t_1)(t_2 \cdot a))\gamma(t_3)
= \sum f(m\gamma^{-1}(t_1))(t_2 \cdot a)\gamma(t_3)
= \sum f(m\gamma^{-1}(t_1))\gamma(t_2)a
= \bar{f}(m)a$$

and

$$\bar{f}(m\gamma(h)) = \sum f(m\gamma(h)\gamma^{-1}(t_1))\gamma(t_2)
= \sum f(m\gamma(h_1)\gamma^{-1}(t_1h_2))\gamma(t_2h_3) \quad \text{since } \sum h_1 \otimes t_1h_2 \otimes t_2h_3 = \sum h \otimes t_1 \otimes t_2
= \sum f(m\gamma^{-1}(t_1)\sigma(t_2,h_1))\gamma(t_3h_2) \quad \text{by [2, Definition 7.1.1]}
= \sum f(m\gamma^{-1}(t_1))\sigma(t_2,h_1)\gamma(t_3h_2)
= \sum f(m\gamma^{-1}(t_1))\gamma(t_2)\gamma(h)
= \bar{f}(m))\gamma(h)$$

Thus \bar{f} is an $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module homomorphism. \square

Proposition 1.6 Let H be a finite-dimensional semisimple Hopf algebra.

- (i) If P is a left (right) $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -modules and a projective left (right) R-module, then P is a projective left (right) $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module;
- (ii) If E is a left (right) $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -modules and an injective left (right) R-module, then E is an injective left (right) $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module;
- (iii) If F is a left (right) $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -modules and a flat left (right) R-module, then F is a flat left (right) $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module.

Proof. (i) Let

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Y \to 0$$

be an exact sequence of left (right) $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -modules and g be a $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module homomorphism from P to Y. Since P is a projective left (right) R-module, we have that there exists a R-module homomorphism φ from P to X, such that

$$f\varphi = g.$$

By Lemma 1.4 and 1.5, there exists a $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module homomorphism $\bar{\varphi}$ from P to X such that

$$f\bar{\varphi}=g.$$

Thus P is a projective left (right) $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module.

Similarly, we can obtain the proof of part (ii).

(iii) Since F is a flat left (right) R-module, we have the character module $Hom_{\mathcal{Z}}(F,\mathcal{Q}/\mathcal{Z})$ of F is a injective left (right) R-module by [8, Theorem 2.3.6]. Obviously, $Hom_{\mathcal{Z}}(F,\mathcal{Q}/\mathcal{Z})$ is a left (right) $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module. By part (ii), $Hom_{\mathcal{Z}}(F,\mathcal{Q}/\mathcal{Z})$ is a injective left (right) $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module. Thus F is a flat left (right) $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module. \square

Proposition 1.7 Let H be a finite-dimensional semisimple Hopf algebra. Then for left (right) $R \#_{\sigma} H$ -modules M and N,

$$Ext_{R\#_{\sigma}H}^{n}(M,N) \subseteq Ext_{R}^{n}(M,N),$$

where n is any natural number.

Proof. We view the $Ext^n(M,N)$ as the equivalent classes of n- extension of M and N (see, [8, Definition 3.3.7]). We only prove this result for n=1. For other cases, we can similarly prove. We denote the equivalent classes in $Ext^1_{R\#_{\sigma}H}(M,N)$ and $Ext^1_R(M,N)$ by [E] and [F]', respectively, where E is an extension of $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -modules M and N, and F is an extension of R-modules M and N. We define a map

$$\Psi: Ext^1_{R\#_{\sigma}H}(M,N) \to Ext^1_R(M,N),$$
 by sending $[E]$ to $[E]'$.

Obviously, Ψ is a map. Now we show that Ψ is injective. Let

$$0 \to M \xrightarrow{f} E \xrightarrow{g} N \to 0$$
 and $0 \to M \xrightarrow{f'} E' \xrightarrow{g'} M \to 0$

are two extensions of $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -modules M and N, and they are equivalent in $Ext_R^1(M, N)$. Thus there exists R-module homomorphism φ from E to E' such that

$$\varphi f = f'$$
 and $\varphi g = g'$.

By lemma 1.4 , there exists $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module homomorphism $\bar{\varphi}$ from E to E' such that

$$\bar{\varphi}f = f'$$
 and $\bar{\varphi}g = g'$.

Thus E and E' is equivalent in $Ext^1_{R\#_{\sigma}H}(M,N)$, which implies that Ψ is injective.

Lemma 1.8 For any $M \in \mathcal{M}_{R\#_{\sigma}H}$ and $N \in {}_{R\#_{\sigma}H}\mathcal{M}$, there exists an additive group homomorphism

$$\xi: M \otimes_R N \to M \otimes_{R\#_{\sigma}H} N$$

by sending $(m \otimes n)$ to $m \otimes n$, where $m \in M, n \in N$.

Proof. It is trivial. \Box

Proposition 1.9 If M is a right $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -modules and N is a left $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module, then there exists additive group homomorphism

$$\xi_*: Tor_n^R(M,N) \longrightarrow Tor_n^{R\#_{\sigma}H}(M,N)$$

such that $\xi_*([z_n]) = [\xi(z_n)]$, where ξ is the same as in Lemma 1.8.

Proof. Let

$$\mathcal{P}_M: \cdots P_n \xrightarrow{d_n} P_{n-1} \cdots \to P_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M \to 0$$

is a projective resolution of right $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module M, and set

$$T = -\otimes_{R\#_{\sigma}H} N$$
 and $T^R = -\otimes_R N$.

We have that

$$T\mathcal{P}_{\hat{M}}: \cdots T(P_n) \stackrel{Td_n}{\to} T(P_{n-1}) \cdots \to T(P_1) \stackrel{Td_1}{\to} TP_0 \to 0$$

and

$$T^R \mathcal{P}_{\hat{M}}: \cdots T^R(P_n) \stackrel{T^R d_n}{\to} T^R(P_{n-1}) \cdots \to T^R(P_1) \stackrel{T^R d_1}{\to} T^R(P_0) \to 0$$

are complexes . Thus ξ is a complex homomorphism from $T^R\mathcal{P}_{\hat{M}}$ to $T\mathcal{P}_{\hat{M}}$, which implies that ξ_* is an additive group homomorphism. \square

2 The global dimensions and weak dimensions of crossed products

In this section we give the relation between homological dimensions of R and $R\#_{\sigma}H$.

Lemma 2.1 If R and R' are Morita equivalent rings, then

- (i) rgD(R) = rgD(R');
- (ii) lgD(R) = lgD(R');
- (iii) wD(R) = wD(R').

Proof. It is an immediate consequence of [1, Proposition 21.6, Exercise 22.12]

Theorem 2.2 Let If H is a finite-dimensional semisimple Hopf algebra,

- (i) $rgD(R\#_{\sigma}H) \leq rgD(R)$;
- (ii) $lgD(R\#_{\sigma}H) \leq lgD(R)$;
- (iii) $wD(R\#_{\sigma}H) \leq wD(R)$.

Proof. (i) When lgD(R) is infinite, obviously part (i) holds. Now we assume lgD(R) = n. For any left $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module M, and a projective resolution of left $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module M:

$$\mathcal{P}_M: \cdots P_n \xrightarrow{d_n} P_{n-1} \cdots \to P_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M \to 0,$$

we have that \mathcal{P}_M is also a projective resolution of left R-module M by Lemma 1.1. Let $K_n = \ker d_n$ be syzygy n of \mathcal{P}_M . Since $\lg D(R) = n$, $\operatorname{Ext}_R^{n+1}(M,N) = 0$ for any left R-module N by [8, Corollary 3.3.6]. Thus $\operatorname{Ext}_R^1(K_n,N) = 0$, which implies K_n is a projective R-module. By Lemma 1.6 (i), K_n is a projective $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module and $\operatorname{Ext}_{R\#_{\sigma}H}^{n+1}(M,N) = 0$ for any $R\#_{\sigma}H$ -module N. Consequently,

$$lgD(R\#_{\sigma}H) \le n = lgD(R)$$
 by [8, Corollary 3.3.6].

We complete the proof of part (i).

We can similarly show part (ii) and part (iii). \Box

Theorem 2.3 Let H be a finite-dimensional semisimple and cosemisimple Hopf algebra. Then

- (i) $rgD(R) = rgD(R\#_{\sigma}H);$
- (ii) $rgD(R) = rgD(R\#_{\sigma}H);$
- (iii) $wD(R) = wD(R\#_{\sigma}H)$.

Proof. (i) By dual theorem (see, [2, Corollary 9.4.17]), we have $(R\#_{\sigma}H)\#H^*$ and R are Morita equivalent algebras. Thus $lgD(R) = lgD((R\#_{\sigma}H)\#H^*)$ by Lemma 2.1 (i). Considering Theorem 2.2 (i), we have that

$$lgD((R\#_{\sigma}H)\#H^*) \le lgD(R\#_{\sigma}H) \le lgD(R).$$

Consequently,

$$lgD(R) = lgD(R \#_{\sigma} H).$$

Similarly, we can prove (ii) and (iii) \Box

Corollary 2.4 Let H be a finite-dimensional semisimple Hopf algebra.

- (i) If R left (right) semi-hereditary, then so is $R\#_{\sigma}H$;
- (ii) If R is von Neumann regular, then so is $R#_{\sigma}H$.

Proof. (i) It follows from Theorem 2.2 and [8, Theorem 2.2.9].

(ii) It follows from Theorem 2.2 and [8, Theorem 3.4.13]. \square

By the way, part (ii) of Corollary 2.4 give one case about the semiprime question in [2, Question 7.4.9]. That is, If H is a finite-dimensional semisimple Hopf algebra and R is a von Neumann regular algebra (notice that every von Neumann regular algebra is semiprime), then $R\#_{\sigma}H$ is semiprime.

Corollary 2.5 Let H be a finite-dimensional semisimple and cosemisimple Hopf algebra. Then

- (i) R is semisimple artinian iff $R\#_{\sigma}H$ is semisimple artinian;
- (ii) R is left (right) semi-hereditary iff $R\#_{\sigma}H$ is left (right) semi-hereditary;
- (iii) R is von Neumann regular iff $R\#_{\sigma}H$ is von Neumann regular.

Proof. (i) It follows from Theorem 2.3 and [8, Theorem 2.2.9].

- (ii) It follows from Theorem 2.3 and [8, Theorem 2.2.9].
- (iii) It follows from Theorem 2.3 and [8, Theorem 3.4.13]. \Box

If H is commutative or cocommutative, then $S^2 = id_H$ by [7]. Consequently, by [6, Proposition 2 (c)], H is semisimple and cosemisimple iff the character chark of k does not divides dimH. Considering Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.5, we have:

Corollary 2.6 Let H be a finite-dimensional commutative or cocommutative Hopf algebra. If the character chark of k does not divides dim H, then

- (i) $rgD(R) = rgD(R \#_{\sigma} H);$
- (ii) $rgD(R) = rgD(R \#_{\sigma} H);$
- (iii) $wD(R) = wD(R\#_{\sigma}H);$
- (iv) R is semisimple artinian iff $R\#_{\sigma}H$ is semisimple artinian;
- (v) R is left (right) semi-hereditary iff $R\#_{\sigma}H$ is left (right) semi-hereditary;
- (vi) R is von Neumann regular iff $R\#_{\sigma}H$ is von Neumann regular.

Since group algebra kG is a cocommutative Hopf algebra, we have that

$$rgD(R) = rgD(R * G).$$

Thus Corollary 2.6 implies in [4, Theorem 7.5.6].

References

- [1] F.W.Anderson and K.P.Fuller, Rings and categories of modules, Springer-Verlag , New York, 1974
- [2] Montgomery, Hopf algebras and their actions on rings, CBMS Number 82, Published by AMS, 1992.
- [3] J.J.Rotman, An introduction to homological algebras, Acadimic press, New York, 1979.
- [4] J.C.McCommell and J.C.Robson, Noncommutative Noetherian rings, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1987.
- [5] J.J.Rotman, An introduction to homological algebras, Acadimic press, New York, 1979.
- [6] D.E.Radford, The trace function and Hopf algebras, J. algebra 163 (1994), 583-622.

- [7] M.E.Sweedler, Hopf algebras, Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [8] Wenting Tong, An introduction to homological algebras, Chinese education press, 1998.
- [9] Zhong Yi, Homological dimension of skew group rings and crossed products, Journal of algebra, **164** 1984, 101-123.